

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

HYDROFLOW USA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

HYDROTECH SOLUTIONS LLC, a Texas  
limited liability company; KIRK KENNEDY  
and JANE DOE KENNEDY, husband and wife;  
ROB BARNETT and JANE DOES BARNETT,  
husband and wife; and STEVEN BLOOM and  
JANE DOES BLOOM, husband and wife,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C21-0714-JCC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. Defendant HydroTech Solutions LLC alleges that the Court has diversity jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) but its notice of removal does not adequately plead diversity jurisdiction. (*See* Dkt. No. 1.) Therefore, the Court ORDERS HydroTech to show cause why this matter should not be remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction by amending its notice of removal to properly allege diversity jurisdiction within 14 days of the date of this order.

“A limited liability company ‘is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members are citizens,’ not the state in which it was formed or does business.” *NewGen, LLC v. Safe Cig, LLC*, 840 F.3d 606, 612 (9th Cir. 2016) (quoting *Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, LP*, 437

1 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006)). Accordingly, to properly plead diversity jurisdiction, HydroTech  
2 was required to plead “the citizenship of all of [its] members.” *Id.* at 611. Because one of  
3 HydroTech’s members is an LLC, (*see* Dkt. No. 10), it was also required to plead the citizenship  
4 of *that* LLC’s members. *See generally Asana Partners Fund II REIT 14 LLC v. Heath Family I*  
5 *LLC*, 2020 WL 7241449, slip op. at 3 (W.D. Wash. 2020). It did not do. (*See* Dkt. No. 1.)

6 In addition, HydroTech was required to plead the citizenship of all of the members of  
7 HydroFlow USA, LLC. *See NewGen, LLC*, 840 F.3d at 613–14 (“The party seeking to invoke  
8 the district court’s diversity jurisdiction always bears the burden of both pleading and proving  
9 diversity jurisdiction.”); *Carolina Cas. Ins. Co. v. Team Equip., Inc.*, 741 F.3d 1082, 1086 (9th  
10 Cir. 2014) (If “the information necessary to establish the diversity of the citizenship of [another  
11 party is] not reasonably available to” the party invoking the Court’s jurisdiction, the party  
12 invoking the Court’s jurisdiction may “plead its jurisdictional allegations as to [that other party]  
13 on information and belief.”).

14 In addition, Local Civil Rule 7.1(a)(2) requires “[a]ny nongovernmental party . . . [to] file  
15 a corporate disclosure statement identifying . . . any member or owner in a . . . limited liability  
16 corporation (LLC).” In diversity cases, like this one, the corporate disclosure statement must also  
17 list “those states in which the party, owners, partners, or members are citizens.” W.D. Wash.  
18 Local Civ. R. 7.1(b). The purpose of this rule is to allow the Court and the parties to readily  
19 determine at the outset of the case whether the Court has subject matter jurisdiction. Without  
20 knowing the citizenship of an LLC’s members, the Court cannot determine whether the parties  
21 are completely diverse. Both parties’ corporate disclosure statements are deficient because both  
22 fail to identify the states in which their members are citizens. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 7, 10.)

23 Despite these deficiencies, “[d]efective jurisdictional allegations are not fatal.” *NewGen,*  
24 *LLC*, 840 F.3d at 612. “Courts may permit parties to amend defective allegations of jurisdiction  
25 at any stage in the proceedings.” *Id.*; *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1653. Accordingly, the Court  
26 ORDERS the parties to file amended corporate disclosure statements that comply with Local

1 Civil Rule 7.1 within seven days of the date of this order, and ORDERS HydroTech Solutions,  
2 LLC to show cause why this action should not be remanded for lack of subject matter  
3 jurisdiction by amending its notice of removal to properly allege diversity jurisdiction within 14  
4 days of the date of this order.

5 DATED this 21st day of June 2021.

6  
7  
8 

9 John C. Coughenour  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26